# E03-101: 3He(g,pp)n Hard pp photodisintegration

R Gilman, Eli Piasetzky and the Hall A Collaboration

- Introduction deuteron photo-disintegration review
- <sup>3</sup>He photo-disintegration

Hall A Collaboration Meeting Jefferson Lab

January 2007

# Is There a Quark-Hadron Transition in Exclusive Nuclear Reactions?

- Numerous studies: d,<sup>3</sup>He,<sup>4</sup>He(e,e'), d,<sup>3</sup>He,<sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p) in quasi-free kinematics, d(γ,p)n
  - Quark effects typically are subtle: theory based on NN force generally provides good explanation of data, various quark-based models do not help
- Exceptions: d(γ,p)n goes to high -t and high W, and there are several competing quark models

## Recent Hall A Photodisintegration

- E00-007: X Jiang
  - Ran Oct 2002, paper distributed to collaboration
  - Angular distribution for recoil polarizations at 2 GeV
- E05-103: J Glister
  - Ran July-Sep 2006
  - Angular distribution for recoil polarizations at 280
     360 MeV, to see the start of the breakdown in hadronic calculations

#### Some Observables in d(y,p)n

•  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ,  $\Sigma$ , T,  $C_{x'}$ ,  $p_{y}$ ,  $C_{z'}$ 



## Hard Scattering Regime

- SLAC NE8, NE17
- JLab Hall C E89-012, E96-003
- Yerevan (Σ)

- Does pQCD apply?
   -> Is there a good quark model? Is
  - there a phase
  - transition?
- JLab Hall A E89-019 (C<sub>x</sub>, p, C<sub>z</sub>), E99-008
- JLab Hall B E93-017
- JLab Hall A E00-007 (C<sub>x'</sub>, p<sub>y</sub>, C<sub>z'</sub>) (X. Jiang)
- JLab Hall B: <sup>3</sup>He (S. Strauch)

#### 90° Excitation Functions

- Cross sections fall by a factor of 30,000 from 1

   4 GeV, ~following
   `expected'' quark scaling, dσ/dt ~ s<sup>-11</sup>
- Hadronic theories not satisfactory and not shown
- Most quark models normalized



### The Quark Models

- QGS: Regge phenomenology to evaluate 3-quark exchange, justified by dominance of planar diagrams
- RNA, HRM, TQC, CQM: Photon absorbed and quarks exchanged; might be related to NN elastic scattering – all use hard scattering approximations



#### 90° Excitation Functions

- Cross sections fall by factor of 1.2×10<sup>6</sup> from 1
   - 6 GeV
- The onset of ~quark scaling, d $\sigma$ /dt ~  $s^{-11}$ , at each angle corresponds to  $p_{\tau}$  ~ 1.1 GeV: P Rossi et al, PRL 94, 012301 (2005)



### $\Sigma$ Asymmetry

- HHC Hadron Helicty
   Conservation leads to
   Σ = -1
- Adamian *et al.* showed
   Σ heads away from
   HHC, with increasing
   energy
- Grishina et al. pointed out iso-vector (scalar) limit is Σ = 1 (-1)



## Induced Polarization p

- Hadronic prediction, that D<sub>13</sub> + D<sub>15</sub> leads to large resonance peak, falsified
- HHC leads to p<sub>y</sub> = 0, and p<sub>y</sub> vanishes above 1 GeV
- HRM predicts p<sub>y</sub> small,
   <0</li>



#### Polarization Transfer

- Schwamb & Arenhövel prediction good at low energies
- C<sub>x</sub>, small, but not vanishing, so no HHC
- Cannot rule out or strongly support HRM
   / QGS / approach to HHC



### Hall A E00-007: X Jiang et al.

- E<sub>v</sub> ~ 2 GeV
- $C_{z'}$  large at forward angles, like QGS + HR
- C<sub>x</sub> and p<sub>y</sub> cross 0 near
   90°: in HR, if isovector photon dominance, these ≈ φ<sub>5</sub>, which vanishes at 90°
- Perhaps similar to Σ?



## <sup>3</sup>He (pp) Disintegration

- Brodsky et al, PLB
   578, 69 (2003): ratio
   of pp to pn well
   determined in theory
- At low energy, σ(γpp)
   / σ(γpn) ~ 0.1: pp
   dipole moment
   vanishes: JM Laget
- Quark models predict larger ratio: slow 2<sup>nd</sup> order or fast 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transition?



# <sup>3</sup>He (pp) $a_n$ Distribution

 Light cone momentum fraction, a = (E-p<sub>z</sub>)/m,

is conserved:

- $a_{y} + a_{He} = 0 + 3 = a_{p1} + a_{p2} + a_{n}$
- Soft FSI "do not" affect a, so a<sub>n</sub> reflects neutron spectator wave function
- RNA short range/broad, HRM long range/narrow



 Model-independent check of long vs short range dynamics

## <sup>3</sup>He (pp) Oscillations

- Prominent oscillations in pp cross section, as opposed to flatter pn cross section, reflected in oscillations in ypp, as opposed to flatter energy dependence in yd?
- To match s and t, compare 60° pp to 90° ypp



## <sup>3</sup>He(y,pp)n Measured!

 Hall B experiment, analyzed by S.
 Strauch, GWU (now SC)



PRELIMINARY



### <sup>3</sup>He(y,pp)n Neutron Spectator?

Is the neutron a spectator? Cut at 0.1 - 0.25 GeV/c



## <sup>3</sup>He(y,pp)n Cross Sections

- Red: "γpp->pp", symmetric about
   90°
- Blue:  $\gamma d \rightarrow pn \times \frac{1}{4}$ , asymmetric about 90°
- Cross sections for γpp like backangle γd, near 1 GeV



## <sup>3</sup>He(y,pp)n Cross Sections



10 -2

0

2

Photon Energy E, (GeV)

3

 Fint of a phase transition starting at 1.4 GeV -orperhaps QGS or TQC is the right approach?

4

# <sup>3</sup>He( $\gamma$ ,pp)n $a_n$ Distribution

- Hard distribution from short-range physics, evidence for TQC?
- 1 GeV/c nucleons

   in c.m. are too low
   in energy: lots of
   rescattering
   broadens
   distribution



## <sup>3</sup>He(y,pp)n: Hall A E03-101

- Can cleanly distinguish 1/10, 1/4, ... x deuteron disintegration cross section vs "phase transition"
- Scheduled for June (December?) 2007



### Summary

- Hadronic d.o.f. describe few-body elastic and QF scattering well; going to high Q<sup>2</sup> is insufficient to guarantee large quark effects
- We know lots of details in yd -> pn it is clear that detailed models like those used at low energy do not, and cannot, work - but the underlying quark dynamics is unclear
- <sup>3</sup>He photo-disintegration might sort out if any of the existing quark models represents the underlying physics