E08-011

Measurement of Deuteron PVDIS Asymmetry at 6 GeV

Xiaochao Zheng for Hall A Parity Collaboration

- Physics Motivation and Beam Time Allocation
- Timeline: from June 2003 to 9am today
- Online Data Analysis
- Summary and Outlook



The Collaboration

A. Afanasev, D.S. Armstrong, J. Arrington, T.D. Averett, E.J. Beise, W. Bertozzi, P.E. Bosted, H. Breuer, J.R. Calarco, A. Camsonne, G.D. Cates, J.-P. Chen, E. Chudakov, W. Deconinck, P. Decowski, Xiaoyan Deng, A. Deur, J. Erler, J.M. Finn, S. Gilad, K.A. Griffioen, K. Grimm, K. Hafidi, J.-O. Hansen, D.W. Higinbotham, R. Holmes, T. Holmstrom, R.J. Holt, J. Huang, P.M. King, W. Korsch, S. Kowalski, K. Kumar, N. Liyanage, A. Lukhanin, D.J. Mack, D.J. Margoziotis, P. Markowitz, D. McNulty, *R. Michaels* (co-spokesperson), B. Moffit, P. Monaghan, N. Muangma, V. Nelyubin, B.E. Norum, Kai Pan, K. Paschke, C. Perdrisat, A.J. Puckett, Y. Qiang, *P.E. Reimer* (co-spokesperson), J. Roche, A. Saha, B. Sawatzky, N. Simicevic, J. Singh, S. Sirca, <u>A. Shahinyan</u>, R. Snyder, P. Solvignon, P.A. Souder, N. Sparveris, R. Subedi, V. Sulkosky, W.A. Tobias, **Diancheng Wang**, K. Wang, S.P. Wells, **<u>B. Wojtsekhowski</u>**, X.-H. Zhan, X.-C. Zheng (co-spokesperon)

The Hall A Collaboration

ANL, Calstate, FIU, JLab, Kentucky, Louisiana Tech, U. of Ljubljana (Slovenia), MIT, UMD,
 UMass, UNH, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Ohio U., Randolph-Mason C.,
 Smith C., Syracuse, Temple U., UVa, W&M, Yerevan Phys. Inst.(Armenia)

UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

PVDIS Asymmetries



Deuterium:

$$\begin{split} A_{d} &= (540 \ ppm) Q^{2} \frac{2 \ C_{1u} [1 + R_{C}(x)] - C_{1d} [1 + R_{S}(x)] + Y(2 \ C_{2u} - C_{2d}) R_{V}(x)}{5 + R_{S}(x) + 4 \ R_{C}(x)} \\ C_{1u} &= g_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ C_{1u} &= g_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ C_{1u} &= g_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ C_{1u} &= g_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e_{A}^{e} g_{V}^{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) \\ &= \int_{1u} e$$

PVDIS provides an opportunity to study both electroweak Standard Model via the extraction of $C_{1,2q}$ (and $\sin^2\theta_W$), and hadronic effects.

The 6 GeV experiment is an exploratory step of this program.
X. Zheng, Hall A Collaboration Meeting, Dec. 2009

The Physics of PVDIS at 6 GeV

- First appeared as LOI03-106 in June 2003 (PAC24), then as E05-007 in PAC27 and E08-011 in PAC33.
- Total requested 46+4 days
 - PAC27: 13 days approved (A-);
 - PAC33: 32 days approved (A-).
- Measure PVDIS asymmetry on a deuterium target, A_d , at $Q^2=1.10$ and 1.90 GeV² to 2% (stat.) -> 3% with approved 32 days;

 - If HT is small, from Q²=1.90 GeV² can extract 2C_{2u}-C_{2d} to ±0.033, a factor of 7.4 improvement; -> factor of 5-6 improvement with approved 32 days.
- Scheduled to run Oct. 29 Dec. 22, 2009 (5 days switchover, 4 days commissioning, production started Nov. 8th).

UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

The 6 GeV E08-011



Expected: JLab 6 GeV PV-DIS E08-011 (assuming small hadronic effects and a 3% statistical error. Current expetation is 4%!) X. Zheng, Hall A Collaboration Meeting, Dec. 2009



A New Fast-Counting DAQ

- A new fast-counting DAQ is required:
 - Hardware-based PID, scaler counting up to 1MHz;
 - Full event information sampled at lower rates by HRS DAQ for kinematics, efficiencies and PID analysis;
- DAQ was tested off-line July-September 2008, including measurement of known asymmetries and 3 independent deadtime measurements.
- Installed in the Right HRS and tested parasitically April-June 2009 (polarized ³He experiments), including 16 hours of 6 GeV beam up to 100uA on a thin carbon target on June 16.
- Duplicated and installed in the Left HRS in July 2009.



A new fast-counting DAQ

Inputs:

Scintillators ("T1");

Gas cherenkov (GC), with hardware threshold provided by discriminators.

Lead glass counters ("Pre-shower" and "Shower"),
 divided into 6 (left) or 8 (right) groups, and with
 hardware threshold provided by discriminators.;



MUNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

PVDIS DAQ in Situ (Right Arm)





X. Zheng, Hall A Collaboration Meeting, Dec. 2009



Performance Check using fbTDC info in RHRS DAQ



Grouped Shower ADC sum

X. Zheng, Hall A Collaboration Meeting, Dec. 2009

UNIVERSITY&VIRGINIA

Performance Check using fbTDC info in RHRS DAQ



UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

Lead Glass PID Check using fbTDC info in RHRS DAQ



PVDIS General Run Information

- Beam polarization shared with Hall B and monitored by Moller and Compton (photon-only). Moller results ~87%;
- ightarrow Beam vertical polarization measured to be <2%; ightarrow
- Beam charge asymmetry controlled by "parity feedback";
- Target boiling noise monitored by Lumi;
- Beam IHWP switched every 1M helicity pairs ("slugs");
- Deadtime measurement, analysis in progress;
- Other background or systematics measurements:
 - pion asymmetries measured continuously by PVDIS DAQ, consistent with zero so far;
 - Al dummy and positive polarity runs (8 hours), rate agree with calculation;
 - transverse beam polarization running (12 hours), systematic uncertainty under control; 🕐



Transverse Beam Polarization (Dec. 2)

slug12

slug13



Online Asymmetries, $Q^2=1.1$, Left arm only

asymmetries of left arm, P0 = 3.66GeV



UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

Online Asymmetries, $Q^2=1.9$, Right arm

asymmetries of right arm, P0 = 2.63GeV



UNIVERSITY / VIRGINIA

Online Asymmetries, $Q^2=1.9$, Left arm



MIVERSITY / VIRGINIA

Deadtime Measurement

- Multiple methods planned:
 - tagger method (fractional loss + timing "pileup" correction);
 - rate scan method;
 - By observing TDC "deadzone";
 - The DAQ consists of 2 "paths", with discriminator width 30 and 100ns wide, respectively.



Deadtime Measurement

- Tagger method results:
 - Well understood, tagger "see" the same loss as electron signals;
 - Before Nov. 26, deadtime of all groups proportional to T1 rate, up to 1.4% loss on left arm. Error bar should be well below 0.5%.
 - After Nov. 26, deadtime proportional to group rates (Preshower or Total Shower).
 - *Narrow path" sees 50-70ns deadtime (dominated by input width);
 - "Wide path" sees 100-110ns deadtime (as expected).
 - \Rightarrow Highest loss is for left arm at the lower Q² point: ~0.5%
 - + Higher Q^2 point has much less deadtime: <0.05%.
 - Error bar should be a fraction of it (dominated by "pileup" correction").

Rate scan method:

Need to know BCM nonlinearity.

Outlook (Resonance Runs: Dec. 17-22)



Resonance events contribute up to 15%

- \bullet No reliable way to calculate PV asymmetry at low W and Q²
- Almost impossible to calculate resonance structure
- +Will measure resonance PV asymmetry to control ΔA_{d} below 1%.

MUNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

Outlook (Resonance Runs: Dec. 17-22)

PVDIS at 6 GeV Simulation



UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

larger now by 50% X. Zheng, Hall A Collaboration Meeting, Dec. 2009

Outlook (Analysis)

- w-months for asymmetry extraction;
- x-months for deadtime analysis;
- \bullet y-months or 0.y years for radiative correction;
- z-months or 0.z years for extracting C_{2a} and higher twists.
- Total: N-years before unblinding. (Note: It took 2 years for G0 to unblind).
- End of run party: Dec. 22 (Tuesday) 12noon-4pm, ResFac common room





We may not make the most important discovery, but we are certainly the first to move both HRSs by hand drills, exclusively throughout the experiment!

MUNIVERSITY / VIRGINIA





MVP of the Experiment





Backup Slides



PV DIS and Other SM Test Experiments



UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

Kinematics

Kinematics		II
X _{bj}	0.25	0.3
$Q^2 (GeV/c)^2$	1.11	1.9
E _{beam} (GeV)	6.0	6.0
E' (GeV)	3.66	2.63
θ(°)	12.9°	20.0°
W^2 (GeV) ²	4.16	5.30
Υ	0.470	0.716
R _c	<0.001	0.001
R _s	0.052	0.041
R_{v}	0.872	0.910
A _d (measured, ppm)	-91.3	-160.7
e- rate/HRS (kHz)	269.8	25.1
π⁻/e⁻ ratio	0.9	6.4
e⁺/e⁻ ratio	0.073%	0.463%
Total rate/HRS (kHz)	513.0	186.2



Expected Uncertainties on A_d

Source $\ \Delta A_d / A_d$	Q ² =1.1 GeV ²	Q ² =1.9 GeV ²	
$\Delta P_{b}/P_{b}=1\%$	1.0%	1.0%	
Deadtime correction	0.3%	0.3%	
Target endcap contamination	0.4%	0.4% ┥	— now 5mil Al
Target purity	<0.02%	<0.02%	(was 3mil Be)
Pion background	<0.2%	<0.2%	
Pair production background	<0.2%	<0.2%	
Systematics	1.36%	1.36%	
Statistical	2.11%	2.09%	
Total	2.52%	2.49%	

