

Polarized Wide Angle Compton Scattering in 12-GeV era

B. Wojtsekhowski

What are the issues of hadron physics?

What do we want to do in the ALL experiment?

How will we do the measurements?



WACS: Introduction

Part of the Hard Exclusive Reactions program

- Elastic Form Factors: $GM_P, GE_P, GM_N, GE_N, FF_{\pi}$
- WACS: high-*t* two-photon reaction
- Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)
- Deeply Virtual Meson Production

Common issues:

- Handbag diagram
- Interplay between the hard and soft processes
- Threshold for onset of the asymptotic regime
- Role of the hadron helicity flip



Unification of nucleon structure within GPDs





The experiment provides the answer

Test of the reaction mechanism in the cloud chamber.

Arthur

Compton



Physical Review (1925)



Fig. 1. Diagram of apparatus. On the hypothesis of radiation quanta, if a recoil electron is ejected at an angle θ , the scattered quantum must proceed in a definite direction ϕ_{calc} . In support of this view, many secondary β -ray tracks are found at angles ϕ_{obs} for which Δ is small.

These results do not appear to be reconcilable with the view of the statistical production of recoil and photo-electrons proposed by Bohr, Kramers and Slater. They are, on the other hand, in direct support of the view that energy and momentum are conserved during the interaction between radiation and individual electrons.

December 11, 2012



GPDs and form factors of WACS

 $\gamma p \to \gamma p \qquad ep \to ep$

$$\begin{split} R_{_{V}}(t) &= \sum_{a} e_{a}^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} H^{a}(x,0,t), \qquad F_{_{1}}(t) &= \sum_{a} e_{a} \int_{-1}^{1} dx H^{a}(x,0,t), \\ R_{_{A}}(t) &= \sum_{a} e_{a}^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} \operatorname{sign}(x) \hat{H}^{a}(x,0,t), \quad G_{_{A}}(t) &= \sum_{a} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \operatorname{sign}(x) \hat{H}^{a}(x,0,t), \\ R_{_{T}}(t) &= \sum_{a} e_{a}^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} E^{a}(x,0,t), \qquad F_{_{2}}(t) &= \sum_{a} e_{a} \int_{-1}^{1} dx E^{a}(x,0,t), \end{split}$$

GPD	x^{-1} moment	x^0 moment	t = 0 limit
$H^a(x,0,t)$	$R_{_V}(t)$	$F_1(t)$	q(x)
$\hat{H}^a(x,0,t)$	$R_{_{A}}(t)$	$G_{_{A}}(t)$	$\Delta q(x)$
$E^{a}(x,0,t)$	$R_{_T}(t)$	$F_2(t)$	2J(x)/x - q(x)



Cross section of Wide-Angle Compton Scattering



- Three-quark mechanism: It dominates at "asymptopia". Two hard gluon exchanges. Constituent counting rules: $d\sigma/dt = f(\theta_{CM})/s^6$ "complicated" polarization observables
- Single-quark mechanism: "Handbag" diagram dominates. Form factors (P. Kroll):

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{d\sigma}{dt}_{_{KN}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[R_{_V}^2 + \frac{-t}{4m^2} R_{_T}^2 + R_{_A}^2 \right] - \frac{us}{s^2 + u^2} \left[R_{_V}^2 + \frac{-t}{4m^2} R_{_T}^2 - R_{_A}^2 \right] \right\}$$



Polarization observables of WACS in GPDs handbag calculations



Mixed e/γ beam \rightarrow productivity **1300** times higher than the beam of "clean" γ





Mean

p0

p1

p2

p3

p4

p5

p6

p7

p8

p9

20

0

-20

p10

 χ^2 / ndf

-8.924

0

0

0

0

219 / 159

 195.7 ± 1.841

-0.004298 ±

1.121e-06 ±

9.844e-08 ±

 2409 ± 63.97

893 ± 11.6

 3.716 ± 0.07702

 2.875 ± 0.08174

-22.38 ± 0.04025

 $\textbf{3.463} \pm \textbf{0.03911}$

events

60

RCS

40

-0.0005416 ±

E99-114 experiment in 2002









Results of 6 GeV WACS experiment

PRL 94, 242001 (2005)



M

GPDs



PRL 98, 152001 (2007)







FIG. 6. Compton-scattering cross sections at constant t and at constant θ^* . The straight lines are fits to the data. The fits shown here have no energy cuts.

Bogdan Wojtsekhowski





FIG. 6. Compton-scattering cross sections at constant t and at constant θ^* . The straight lines are fits to the data. The fits shown here have no energy cuts.

PRL 98, 152001 (2007)



WACS ALL with SBS

Bogdan Wojtsekhowski

Results of 6 GeV WACS experiment



FIG. 6. Compton-scattering cross sections at constant t and at constant θ^* . The straight lines are fits to the data. The fits shown here have no energy cuts.



FIG. 3. Angular-difference distribution at 6 GeV and $t = -2.45 \text{ GeV}^2$. (a) Coplanarity-angle distribution. The solid line is a fit assuming neutral-pion photoproduction, the dashed line is the estimated background from other processes, and the peak at $\Delta \phi = 0$ is due to proton Compton scattering. (b) Angular-difference distribution in the reaction plane. The curves have the same interpretation as in (a). The excess at $\Delta \theta = 0^\circ$ is due to Compton scattering.

my

GPDs





E07-002 K_{LL} result



$$s = 8.0 \ GeV^2, -t = 2.1 \ GeV^2,$$

 $-u = 4.1 \ GeV^2$

WACS perspective with 12 GeV JLab beam



December 11, 2012



Polarized WACS in 12-GeV era

Initial state double polarization correlation



$$A_{_{LL}}=rac{1}{2}\{rac{\sigma(+\uparrow)-\sigma(+\downarrow)}{\sigma(+\uparrow)+\sigma(+\downarrow)}-rac{\sigma(-\uparrow)-\sigma(-\downarrow)}{\sigma(-\uparrow)+\sigma(-\downarrow)}\}$$



 $A_{LL}\text{-}~K_{LL}$ diff. as a measure of quark spin flip $\,\sim\,m_q/E_\gamma^{}$



Polarized WACS in 12-GeV era



Magnet C opening polar angle +/- 51° and also from 90° +/- 18° Magnet B opening polar angle +/- 45°

and also from 90° +/- 14°

Electron beam intensity 0.09 μA 10% Cu radiator at 25 cm from target

Luminosity: polarized proton: 8 x 10³⁴ Hz/cm²

 (L_{eN}) total nucleon : 4.8 x 10³⁵

Compare with E99-114, $L_{ep} = 1.2 \times 10^{38}$







Microwave

Input

To Pumps

6

NMR

Signal Out

To Pumps

Refrigerator

Frequency

Polarized WACS in 12-GeV era

$$\sin(\frac{\theta_{\gamma}^{cm}}{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{-ts}}{(s-m^2)}$$

The photon & proton scattering angles (lab)

		$\theta_{\gamma}^{cm}, [deg]$		30	60	90	120	150
	Liquid Helium	$E_{\gamma} = 4 GeV$	θ_{γ}	9.9	21.2	35.9	58.5	101
Ĩ			$ heta_p$	65.5	45.4	30.4	18.7	8.9
1		$E_{\gamma} = 6 GeV$	θ_{γ}	8.2	17.7	30.1	50.0	90.3
u	Magnet -		$ heta_p$	62.1	41.0	26.7	16.2	7.7
<u>e</u> ≻		$E_{\gamma} = 8 GeV$	θ_{γ}	7.2	15.5	26.5	44.4	82.5
Beam	(inside coil) 1° K		$ heta_p$	59.1	37.6	24.0	14.4	6.8
		$E_{\gamma} = 10 GeV$	θ_{γ}	6.5	13.9	23.9	40.3	76.5
4-94	7656A1		$ heta_p$	56.5	35.1	22.1	13.2	6.2

All these points are "kinematically" admissible



Microwave

Input

To Pumps

E

e⁻___ Beam

4-94

NMR

Signal Out

To Pumps

Refrigerator

Frequency

Polarized WACS in 12-GeV era

$$\sin(\frac{\theta_{\gamma}^{cm}}{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{-ts}}{(s-m^2)}$$

The photon & proton scattering angles (lab)

	$\theta_{\gamma}^{cm}, [deg]$		30	60	90	120	150
Liquid Helium	$E_{\gamma} = 4 GeV$	θ_{γ}	9.9	21.2	35.9	58.5	101
		$ heta_p$	65.5	45.4	30.4	18.7	8.9
	$E_{\gamma} = 6 GeV$	θ_{γ}	8.2	17.7	30.1	50.0	90.3
Magnet		$ heta_p$	62.1	41.0	26.7	16.2	7.7
NMR Coil	$E_{\gamma} = 8 GeV$	θ_{γ}	7.2	15.5	26.5	44.4	82.5
Target - 5T (inside coil) 1° K		$ heta_p$	59.1	37.6	24.0	14.4	6.8
	$E_{\gamma} = 10 GeV$	θ_{γ}	6.5	13.9	23.9	40.3	76.5
7656A1		$ heta_p$	56.5	35.1	722.1	13.2	6.2

This is also a good GEP data point!



$$N_{RCS} = \frac{d\sigma}{dt}_{RCS} \left(\frac{(E_{\gamma}^{f})^{2}}{\pi} \Delta \Omega_{p} \frac{d\Omega_{\gamma}}{d\Omega_{p}}\right) f_{\gamma p} \left(\frac{\Delta E_{\gamma}^{f}}{E_{\gamma}^{f}} \frac{t_{rad}}{X_{o}}\right) \mathcal{L}_{e\vec{p}}$$

Pion/RCS ratio and cross section for the 4.3 GeV photon energy

kin.	$ heta_{\gamma}^{lab},$	t,	$ heta_{\gamma}^{cm},$	D	$d\sigma/dt,$
4#	degree	$(\text{GeV}/c)^2$	degree		$pb/(GeV/c)^2$
4A	22	-2.03	63.6	2.13	496.
4B	26	-2.57	72.8	1.54	156.
4C	30	-3.09	81.1	1.67	72.
4D	35	-3.68	90.4	2.75	42.
4E	42	-4.39	101.5	2.80	29.
4F	50	-5.04	112.1	2.42	38.
4G	57	-5.48	119.9	2.83	46.
4H	66	-5.93	128.4	3.89	61.





Collaboration buildup

- Polarized experts: JLab/UVa group, D.Keller
- E99-114 Ph.D.: D.Hamilton, V.Mamyan, J.Sjögren
- ➢ E07-002 WACS PIs: D.Day, BW
- INFN/JLab LAC PI: P.Rossi



Summary

- The RCS 6-GeV experiment has made an "unexpected" observation of the handbag dominance (KLL result) and the measured s-scaling power value n=8.2+/-0.2
- The ALL 12-GeV experiment will explore handbag dominance and may find a 50-year-old constituent quark in the proton due to "direct" connection between the ALL and the quark mass



RCS perspective with 12-GeV JLab beam

$E_{\gamma} = 4 \text{ GeV}, \text{ s} = 8.4 \text{ GeV}^2$									
$\theta_{\gamma}^{\prime}, [deg]$	14	20	28	37	50	69			
$E'_{\gamma}, [GeV]$	3.6	3.2	2.7	2.2	1.6	1.1			
$\theta_p, [deg]$	57	47	37	30	22	16			
$J_h \times J_v$	6	3	1.3	0.6	0.2	0.08			
$P_p, [GeV/c]$	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.6	3.2	3.8			
θ_{cm} , [deg]	42	57	75	92	110	130			
$-t, [GeV^2]$	0.84	1.5	2.5	3.5	4.5	5.5			

$E_{\gamma} = 8 \text{ GeV}, \text{ s} = 15.9 \text{ GeV}^2$									
$\theta_{\gamma}', [deg]$	7	11	15	19	28	33	40	48	55
$\dot{E'_{\gamma}}, [GeV]$	7.5	6.9	6.2	5.5	4.0	3.4	2.7	2.1	1.7
$\theta_p, [deg]$	60	47	39	32	23	30	16	13	11.4
$J_h \times J_v$	25	10	4.5	2.2	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.06
$P_p, [GeV/c]$	1.1	1.8	2.6	3.3	4.8	5.5	6.2	6.8	7.2
θ_{cm} , [deg]	29	45	58	71	93	103	114	124	131
$-t, [GeV^2]$	0.90	2.0	3.4	4.7	7.5	8.7	10	11	11.8



Polarized WACS in 12-GeV era





Polarized WACS in 12-GeV era

from the 2004 ALL proposal to PAC27

Kin.		beam,	time] '		
P#	Procedure	nA	hours	0.8	E99–114 result for K _{LL} Kroll (GPD)	1
P1	BigCal calibration	1000	8	0.6	$A_{LL} = K$	LL
P1	RCS data taking	90	176	0.4	Miller K ₁₁	
P2	RCS data taking	90	240			
	Packing Fraction Measurements	90	16	0.2		
	Moller Measurements	200	18	pun o		_
	Beam Time		458		approved F03-003	_
	BigCal angle change		8		Miller A.	
	Target Anneals		52	-0.4	proposed A _{LL}	-
	Stick Changes		36	-0.6	3 -	-
	Overhead Time		96		3 -	_
	Requested Time		506	1		
					0 30 60 90 120 150 Θ_{cm} [deg]	180

kinematic	P1	P2
$N_{\scriptscriptstyle RCS},$ events	1850	3250
$\Delta A_{\scriptscriptstyle LL}$	0.05	0.07

