## **APEX: Goals and Strategy**

#### Natalia Toro (Perimeter Institute)



#### **Collaboration Meeting** April 22, 2014

Search for new forces mediated by ~100 MeV vector boson A' (*dark photon*) with weak coupling to electrons

Possible connections to dark matter and muon g-2



Search for new forces mediated by ~100 MeV vector boson A' (*dark photon*) with weak coupling to electrons

Possible connections to dark matter and muon g-2

Search for new forces mediated by ~100 MeV vector boson A' (*dark photon*) with weak coupling to electrons

Possible connections to dark matter and muon g-2



>1 *A*′/sec for 75 µA beam, 0.1 *X*<sub>0</sub>

A' signal: small bump in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> mass distribution over large but smooth background

Search for new forces mediated by ~100 MeV vector boson A' (*dark photon*) with weak coupling to electrons

Possible connections to dark matter and muon g-2



>1 *A*′/sec for 75 µA beam, 0.1 *X*<sub>0</sub>

A' signal: small bump in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> mass distribution over large but smooth background



Sensitivity controlled by 
$$\frac{S}{\sqrt{B}} \sim \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha^2} \sqrt{N_{QED}} \left(\frac{m_{A'}}{\Delta m}\right)$$

#### Maximize e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> statistics and minimize mass resolution:

- Spectrometer central momentum  $p \approx E_{\text{beam}}/2$  maximizes signal acceptance (and reduces many backgrounds)
- Septum magnet for forward-angle coverage increases signal acceptance
- DAQ strategy to cope with high  $e^-$  and  $\pi^+$  singles rates:
  - higher-sensitivity VDC electronics
  - two-arm coincidence trigger using GC in  $e^+$  arm
  - tight coincidence timing (10 ns)
- Optimize optics calibration for both polarities
- Multi-foil target minimizes multiple scattering in target and increases mass coverage per beam energy

#### APEX Run Plan



Approved by JLab PAC 37 with recommendation to run as soon as possible

Explores parameter space with **unparalleled efficiency** (particularly above ~300 MeV)

- ◆ Central momentum *p≈E*<sub>beam</sub>/2 maximizes signal acceptance (and reduces many backgrounds)
- Septum magnet for forward-angle coverage increases signal acceptance
- DAQ strategy to cope with high  $e^-$  and  $\pi^+$  singles rates:
  - higher-sensitivity VDC electronics
  - two-arm coincidence trigger using GC in  $e^+$  arm
  - tight coincidence timing (10 ns)
- Optimize optics calibration for both polarities
- Multi-foil target minimizes multiple scattering in target and increases mass coverage per beam energy

### Optimizing for A' Production Kinematics

A' production has distinctive kinematics:



A' is produced forward and carries majority of beam energy



### Optimizing for A' Production Kinematics

#### A' Production

QED Backgrounds





#### (dominant pair background after PID)



• Central momentum  $p \approx E_{\text{beam}}/2$  maximizes signal acceptance (and reduces many backgrounds)

 Septum magnet for forward-angle coverage increases signal acceptance

• DAQ strategy to cope with high  $e^-$  and  $\pi^+$  singles rates:

- higher-sensitivity VDC electronics
- two-arm coincidence trigger using GC in  $e^+$  arm
- tight coincidence timing (10 ns)
- Optimize optics calibration for both polarities
- Multi-foil target minimizes multiple scattering in target and increases mass coverage per beam energy

## Septum Magnet

- Essential to design
  - Brings mass range of interest into acceptance  $m_{A'} \approx E_{beam} \theta$
  - Higher A' signal acceptance at 5° than 12.5°



### ► Used PREX septum for test run

### > APEX septum

- Designed to minimize fringe field on beamline, access small A' decay angles
- Delivery (Buckley Systems) expected July 2014
- Funded by NCCU, CMU, CSULA, SBU, UW grants
- Need to commission & develop expertise in acceptance calculations (John LeRose did this before)



- Septum magnet for forward-angle coverage increases signal acceptance
- Central momentum  $p \approx E_{\text{beam}}/2$  maximizes signal acceptance (and reduces many backgrounds)

#### • **DAQ strategy** to cope with high $e^-$ and $\pi^+$ singles rates:

- higher-sensitivity VDC electronics
- two-arm coincidence trigger using GC in  $e^+$  arm
- tight coincidence timing (10 ns)
- Optimize optics calibration for both polarities
- Multi-foil target minimizes multiple scattering in target and increases mass coverage per beam energy

### APEX running conditions require high singles rates:

- $e^-$  (radiative elastic & inelastic) about 10<sup>4</sup> x coincidence rate
- $\pi^{\pm}$  rate up to 50 x **larger** than  $e^{+*}$ 
  - \*... but  $\pi^{\pm}$  rate in test run, SaGDH much lower than expectations from higher-energy fits.
- $e^{-\pi^{+}}$  accidentals dominate DAQ bandwidth

#### Challenging but validated in test run

- Tested tracking up to ~5 MHz e<sup>-</sup> rate (highest rate expected for full run), obtained 60% track reconstruction efficiency may be improvable to 75%
- "Golden" trigger for e+e- pairs rejects e-π+ accidentals

Left S2m + Right S2m + Right Gas Cherenkov  $(e^+)$ 

 10 ns online timing achieved in test-run ⇒ manageable DAQ rates (≤2.5 kHz)



- Septum magnet for forward-angle coverage increases signal acceptance
- Central momentum  $p \approx E_{\text{beam}}/2$  maximizes signal acceptance (and reduces many backgrounds)
- DAQ strategy to cope with high  $e^-$  and  $\pi^+$  singles rates:
  - higher-sensitivity VDC electronics
  - two-arm coincidence trigger using GC in  $e^+$  arm
  - tight coincidence timing (10 ns)

#### Optimize optics calibration for both polarities

 Multi-foil target minimizes multiple scattering in target and increases mass coverage per beam energy

## Magnetic Spectrometer Optics

Hall A standard:

- Removable sieve plate upstream of septum.
- Map between surveyed locations of sieve holes and reconstructions to calibrate optics

Test run: used reconstructed hole sizes to measure resolution

but this method only works for e- near elastic peak – for APEX, requires running at different beam energy.





## HRS optics for APEX





"Active sieve slit": tagging by a Sci Fiber detector

- 1 mm fibers with 1/16" pitch (equivalent to 1024 sieve holes)
- Now built, still needs commissioning and readout software
- Allows optics calibration at production beam energy & for both polarities



- Septum magnet for forward-angle coverage increases signal acceptance
- Central momentum  $p \approx E_{\text{beam}}/2$  maximizes signal acceptance (and rejects many backgrounds)
- DAQ strategy to cope with high  $e^-$  and  $\pi^+$  singles rates:
  - new VDC electronics
  - coincidence trigger with Gas Cerenkov detector
- Optimize optics calibration in parallel-field configuration
- Multi-foil target minimizes multiple scattering in target

Target Design: Minimizing Multiple Scattering

## Target designed and built by SLAC & JLab APEX groups for the test run, currently at JLab





Target Design: Minimizing Multiple Scattering

Target designed and built by SLAC & JLab APEX groups for the test run, currently at JLab

Goals:

•  $\sigma(\theta)_{\text{mult scat}} \leq 0.5 \text{ mrad}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  typical  $e^+e^-$  pair must only go through 0.3% X<sub>0</sub> (2-pass)



- Target thickness 0.7–8% X<sub>0</sub> (depending on E<sub>beam</sub>)
- Long target extends mass range per setting
- Easy to swap in/out ribbon holders minimize radiation
  @ lower energies by using thinner targets

Summary

Test run demonstrated feasibility and power of APEX strategy to search for hidden-sector photons

Full APEX efficiently (34 days) explores new & important mass and coupling range  $A' \rightarrow$  Standard Model

In many ways, ideal experiment for opportunistic running conditions of early 12 GeV era

We should be ready!



# Backup Slides

#### Run Plan

Settings	Δ	B	C	D	Sensitivity of Proposed Run Plan
Dettings	11	D		D	0.1 0.3 0.5
Beam energy $(GeV)$	2.2	4.4	1.1	3.3	
Beam current $(\mu A)$	70	60	50	80	$10^{-5}$ $-10^{-5}$
Nominal central angle	$5.0^{\circ}$	$5.0^{\circ}$	$5.0^{\circ}$	$5.0^{\circ}$	vity
Time Requested (hrs)					$\frac{1}{2}$
Energy change		4	4	4	
Magnet setup	4	4	4	4	
Optics calibration	16	16	16	16	$2 10^{-7}$ D $10^{-7}$
$10\% \ \mathcal{L}$	2	2	2	2	
Normal $\mathcal{L}$	144	288	144	144	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-8}$ $10^{-8}$
Total	166	314	170	170	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.5 \\ a^{+}a^{-}(A^{+}) Mass (CaV) \end{bmatrix}$
	l		l		$e^+e^-(A^+)$ Mass (GeV)

6-12 days at 4 energy settings,

anticipate 8 days to swap target cartridges, check alignment, and calibrate optics

41 days total (33 days beam)