APEX High Rate VDC Analysis

Seamus Riordan
University of Massachusetts, Amherst
sriordan@physics.umass.edu

Mathew Graham, SLAC
Ole Hansen, Jefferson Lab
Mike Paolone, Temple University

April 22, 2014

Outline

- HRS VDCs
- APEX Calibration
- High Rate Tracking Performance

HRS Vertical Drift Chambers





Nominal Characteristics

U/V angle	$\pm 45^\circ$
Sense wires/plane	368
Wire Spacing	4.24 mm
Pos. Res	$\sim 100\ \mu$m
Ang. Res	~ 0.5 mrad

Basic VDC Operation

- Tracks enter nominally 45°, produce signals on 3-7 wires
- Drift time patterns among several wires matched to construct "cluster"
- 2 U-plane and 2 V-plane clusters fit to recreate full 3D track





Pre-test run PAC Requirements

- Requested for test run by PAC:
  Prove that the vertical drift chambers (VDCs) can operate at a rate higher that 20 kHz/wire (that, according to the TAC report, is the maximum Hall A has operated till now).

- VDCs had not been run at such high rate (for extended period of time)
- Required to go to ~ 5 MHz (75 kHz/wire)
- Requires hardware modifications to run efficiently without severe aging

VDC Modifications

Modifications for performance up to 5 MHz (full experiment luminosity).

	Standard	APEX High Rate
HV	$-4.0\ \mathrm{kV}$	$-3.5\ \mathrm{kV}$
Disc.	LeCroy ($I_{\mathrm{th}} = 8\ \mu\mathrm{A}$)	JLab Custom ($I_{\mathrm{th}} = 1\ \mu\mathrm{A}$)
Gas	60-40 Ar/$\mathrm{CH_2}$	60-40 Ar/$\mathrm{CH_2}$
Max Rate	$500\ \mathrm{kHz}$	$5\ \mathrm{MHz}$
Gain	20×10^3	25×10^3

- Max VDC current draw $I/\mathrm{wire/cm} \sim 5\ \mathrm{nA}$
- For APEX, $Q_{\mathrm{VDC}} < 0.1\ \mathrm{C}$ (no serious aging)

Timing Offset Calibration

- VDC requires software offsets for drift time
- Calibrated in groups of 16 wires (discriminator inputs)



- Calibration done by fitting time dist. peak and fixed at 1.4 σ earlier from peak (arbitrary)

Timing Offset Calibration Results

- Calibration is done to $\sim$ ns level
- Offsets may be different for different triggers
  - Minimized in hardware to ~ 10 ns level, fully corrected in software





Drift Time-to-Distance

- Drift time-to-distance conversion follows form:
- Theta dependence:

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 t < 0 \quad &: d = \quad v_2 t \\ 0 < v_2 t < a_1 \quad &: d = \quad v_1 t = v_2 t \left(1 + \frac{a_2}{a_1}\right) \\ a_1 < v_2 t \quad &: d = \quad v_2 t + a_2 \end{aligned}$$

- a_1 and a_2 carry $\tan\theta = \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta r}$ dependence ($r = u$ or v)

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{1,i} \tan^i \theta \\ a_2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{2,i} \tan^i \theta \end{aligned}$$

TTD Calibration

- No serious differences between high and low rate data
- Restricting to slice in incident angle θ:

Low Rate, 0.4 MHz



High Rate, 4.6 MHz



- Small recalibrations for θ dependence are necessary

Tracking Algorithm - Clustering





- Algorithm scans for 'V' shaped clusters in time
- Hits in each cluster must be within reasonable time constraints
- Allow for gaps of 1 wire, must have $3 \leq$ wires in cluster ≤ 7
- Time of the cluster is offset, calculated through fit based on time-to-drift distance mapping
  - Time resolution from fit on $\sigma_t \approx 15$ ns

Advanced Scanning



- Multihit TDC information used since rates are high
- Earliest hits used to fit clusters
- Several passes over data taken to maximize clusters found when separated in time, but not space

Tracking Algorithm - UV Association

- Cut on U cluster, V cluster time difference w/ trigger, ± 40 ns
- Cluster positions must be in chamber active area





Ambiguity prevents unique association between U-V clusters

- If ambiguity in UV association, no tracks are returned

Tracking Algorithm - Track Fitting

- All chamber 1 - chamber 2 UV cluste built
- Sort by χ^2 based on angular information from drift time fit
- Accept as many χ^2 clusters until maximum found



Tracking Resolutions

- Track resolutions found through residuals of full χ^2 fit



- Second, broader Gaussian distribution appears at high rate
- Should be fitting to students t distribution with mult scatt
- Corresponds to 100 μm, 0.3 mrad detector resolution

Tracking Efficiency

- Tracking efficiency found in left arm for:
  - Left arm s2m scintillator trigger
  - High preshower+shower calorimeter signal (e^-)

Low rate, 0.3 MHz



Highest rate, 5 MHz



- Average wires in clusters become smaller at high rate due to efficiency

Tracking Efficiency

- Can take low rate as base and calculate how it shifts for hit inefficiencies





- Have $\sim 92\%$ hit efficiency
- Expect 2% loss of tracking efficiency with hits < 3

Tracking Efficiency

- Can take low rate as base and calculate how it shifts for hit inefficiencies





- Have $\sim 92\%$ hit efficiency
- Expect 2% loss of tracking efficiency with hits < 3

Tracking Efficiency - II

- From Eric's thesis:

Left-HRS	Right-HRS
-0.7 m $< x <$ 0.6 m	-0.7 m $< x <$ 0.6 m
-0.05 m $< y <$ 0.03 m	-0.04 m $< y <$ 0.04 m
-150 mrad $< \theta <$ 120 mrad	-150 mrad $< \theta <$ 120 mrad
-25 mrad $< \phi <$ 25 mrad	-20 mrad $< \phi <$ 25 mrad



(A) (B)

- Required coincident event and then frequency of how often a track was found in an arm
- 99.0% for LHRS, 98.2% for RHRS
- Close enough with simple cluster argument?

Tracking Efficiency - Losses

- Losses come from:
  - UV association ambiguity
  - No clusters found (bad timing structure, overlapping, hit inefficiency)
- LHRS, Single Arm Trigger:



- Loss goes up to 40% for highest current running

Event distribution

- Event distribution has small distortions due to non-uniform efficiency
- How does this affect the acceptance?

X_{det}



Y_{det}



Efficiency Improvements

Tracking Work To Do:

- UV ambiguity may be broken through use of other detectors, χ^2 fitting, geometry considerations, event distribution considerations
- Some clusters from "no cluster" events may be recovered through better cluster searching code
- Try to understand how spurious clusters are generated - G4 simulation?

Conclusion

- PAC condition met: VDCs were able to perform tracking at high rates with appropriate hardware modifications
- HRS VDCs look like they are performing well for coincident data
- Tracking generation for trying to match all clusters is about 60% at 5 MHz trigger, can this be understood?

BACKUP SLIDES

TTD Calibration

- Requires some θ dependence re-fitting
- Discrepancies are in the tail on the level of 20 μm



Tracking Efficiency

- Tracking efficiency found in left arm for:
  - Left arm s2m scintillator trigger
  - High preshower+shower calorimeter signal (e^-)

Low rate, 0.3 MHz



Highest rate, 5 MHz



- Average wires in clusters become smaller at high rate due to efficiency