

# Effect of Deadtime + random Cerenkov on asymmetries

C. Furget, collaboration meeting, 05/24/07

- From Doug's simplified formula

$$A_m = A_{s+b} - (\tilde{s} + \tilde{b}) I \tau_d (A_{s+b} + A_l) - \frac{\tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_2 I \tau_r}{(\tilde{s} + \tilde{b}) + \tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_2 I \tau_r} (A_{s+b} - A_l - A_{r1} - A_{r2})$$

$$\text{where } A_{s+b} = (1-f) A_s + f A_b$$

$$y_{m,\pm} = y_{c,\pm} (1 - y_{c,\pm} \tau_d)$$

$$y_{c,\pm} = I_{\pm} (\tilde{s}_{\pm} + \tilde{b}_{\pm}) + r_{1,\pm} r_{2,\pm} \tau_r$$

$$\text{with } r_{i,\pm} = I_{\pm} \tilde{r}_{i,\pm}$$

$r_1$  : Cerenkov  
 $r_2$  : CED-FPD coinc.

- Same using different notation

$$A_{meas} = A_{coinc}$$

$$A_{sys} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} - DT \times (A_{coinc} + A_l) \\ - f_{rand} \times (A_{coinc} - A_l - A_{\pi} - A_{CER}) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{where } A_{coinc} = (1 - f_{back}) A_{el} + f_{back} A_{back}$$

- Asymmetry from **signal + background**
- Systematic asymmetry due to **deadtime**
- Systematic asymmetry due to **pion contamination** (CER  $\otimes$  CED-FPD coinc.)

# Deadtime + random effects on the asymmetry

- To be more precise ...

$$A_{sys} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{meas} = A_{coinc} \\ - DT (A_{coinc} + A_I) \\ - f_{rand} (A_{coinc} - A_I - A_\pi - A_{CER}) \end{array} \right.$$

where  $A_{coinc} = (1 - f_{back}) A_{el} + f_{back} A_{back}$

→ The deadtime should be decomposed in several contributions because it is due to coincidences, single MT and single CFDs

$$-DT (A_{coinc} + A_I) \Rightarrow -DT_{coinc} (A_{ALL\ coinc} + A_I) - DT_{MT} (A_{MT} + A_I) - DT_{CFD} (A_{CFD} + A_I)$$

→ The deadtime on one cell (i,j) is due to the whole coincidences (electron+pion)

→ In practice it is difficult to separate all contributions

## Questions :

- ✓ How small can we reduce systematic asymmetries by performing deadtime & random corrections ?
- ✓ Can we have a rough estimate of systematic asymmetries right now ?

# Very rough estimate of systematic asymmetry

(for LD2 at 362 MeV and 35  $\mu$ A)

- Before deadtime and random corrections

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{meas}(\text{elastic}) &= A_{coinc} \\
 A_{sys} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -DT \times (A_{coinc} + A_I) \\ -f_{rand} \times (A_{coinc} - A_I - A_{\pi} - A_{CER}) \end{array} \right. & \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{coinc} = -15 \text{ ppm} \\ -15\% \times -10 \text{ ppm ?} \\ -15\% ?? \times -15 \text{ ppm} \end{array} \\
 \text{where } A_{coinc} &= (1 - f_{back}) A_{el} + f_{back} A_{back} & \Rightarrow A_{sys} / A_{meas} \approx 25 \%
 \end{aligned}$$

- After 1st-step deadtime and random corrections

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{meas}(\text{elastic}) &= A_{coinc} \\
 A_{sys} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -DT_{residual} \times (A_{single} + A_I) \\ -f_{residual, random} \times (A_{coinc} - A_I - A_{\pi} - A_{CER}) \end{array} \right. & \quad \begin{array}{l} A_m = -15 \text{ ppm} \\ -3\% \times -5 \text{ ppm ? } (= A_{single \text{ CFD or MT}}) \\ -5\% ?? \times -15 \text{ ppm} \end{array} \\
 & \Rightarrow A_{sys} / A_{meas} \approx 6\%
 \end{aligned}$$

- ✓ Hypothesis :  $A_I$ ,  $A_{\pi}$  and  $A_{CER} \propto A_{s+b}$
- ✓ DT deduced from Philippe's study. In DT term,  $A_{coinc} < A_{elastic}$
- ✓ Residual deadtime is supposed to come from single CFD and MT only
- ✓  $f_{rand}$  obtained from Herbert's studies

# Current Status

- Deadtime contribution to  $A_{\text{sys}}$ 
  - ✓ 1st-step deadtime corrections are required to minimize systematic asymmetries
  - ✓ Is the 2nd-step corrections required ? The gain could be small.
  - ✓ Calculate several contributions (coinc, single MT and CFD) to  $A_{\text{sys}}$  ?
- Contribution of pion contamination to  $A_{\text{sys}}$  (Random CER  $\otimes$  CED-FPD coinc.)
  - ✓ Main contribution to  $A_{\text{sys}}$ , which should depends on different settings (energy, target)
  - ✓ Several inputs need to be cross-checked ( $f_{\text{rand}}$ ) and/or measured ( $A_{\pi}$ ,  $A_{\text{cer}}$  ...)
- Others contributions to be included ???
  - ✓ Random CED  $\otimes$  FPD in electron and pion matrix
  - ✓ Background subtraction within each cell (not discussed here) could remain the main contribution to  $A_{\text{sys}}$
  - ✓ ...



Fraction of events in electron matrix, elastic region  
(35 uA 362 MeV beam, LD2 target):

				fraction	
				January	February
A+F+G:	Aero	*	CED * FPD	84. -86. /78%	79. -83. /73%
B:	Aero	.	CED * FPD	12. -15. /20%	13. -18. /23%
C:	Aero	*	CED . FPD	1.3- 2.0 %	1.4- 3.1 %
D:	Aero	*	FPD . CED	0.4- 0.8 %	0.6- 1.6 %
E:	Aero	.	CED . FPD	0.4- 0.9 %	0.4- 1.9 %
B+C+D+E	randoms			14. -16. /22%	17. -21. /27%

values are ranges for octants 1-4,6-8; after "/" are for octant 5

### ASSOCIATED ISSUES:

- multiple hit losses
- dead time losses

Herbert, 03/01/07

# Raw Blinded asymmetry Summary

	LH2 687 MeV Asym (ppm)	LH2 362 MeV Asym (ppm)	LD2 687 MeV Asym (ppm)	LD2 362 MeV Asym (ppm)	
Elastic electron Rand corr.	-39.7(1.7)	-10.59(0.7)	-43.83(2.9) -46.8(3.8)	-14.9 (0.7) -15.7(0.9)	
Inelastic Elec. Rand corr.	-21.7(1.9)	-2.76(2.3)	-18.53(2.7) -22.7(4.6)	-3.9(1.9) -6.2(3.9)	
Elastic pion	-12.2(2.4) ppm	-10.7(1.7)ppm	-6.7(2.9) ppm	-5.4 (1.2)	
Inelastic pion	-3.3(1.7) ppm	0.83(3.1)ppm	-1.9(1.5) ppm	-1.6(1.3)	
Elastic Rand.	Not available	Not available	-14.07(7.6)	-14.6(1.9)	
Inelastic Rand.	Not available	Not available	-0.75(4.2)	-3.95(2.8)	