

Deuteron Quasi-Elastic
Run: 2035

INFORMATION

target: LD2 4 cm

LD2 density: 0.16756 g/cm³ at T 22 K & p = 30 psi

beam E0 1.16 GeV

current 10.2 uA

L_p0 = 1.055

L_theta0 = 20.5

prescale → ps1=0 ps2=0 **ps3=280** ps4=0 ps5=0 ps6=170 ps7=0 ps8=0

Dead time 14.02%

BCM charges 0.006384 (C)

Quasi-Elastic Identification from D(e,e') invariant mass (W).

Let

M = electron mass

MA = target Mass (input)

f_A = (0_vector, M) → Target At rest

f_Q = f_P0 - f_P1 = (q_vector, omega)

f_A1 = f_A + f_Q
= (q_vector, MA+omega)

The invariant mass²:

W² = A1²

= MA² + 2*MA*omega + omega² - |q3m|^2 (*)

= MA² + 2*MA*omega - Q² (*)

For elastic:

2*MA*omega - Q² = 0 (**)

which give W² = MA²

But for quasi-elastic W² is not at MA²

In our case, since we are NOT at the elastic scattering from deuteron, so the W² is not exactly at the M_deuteron².

h_W2




Figure 1.1: Invariant mass 2 (W^2)
redline indicate the deuteron mass 2

h_W2_2Mw_Q2




Figure 1.2 invariant mass² (W^2) vs $2 \cdot M \cdot \omega - Q^2$ (the quantity that would be zero for elastic).

If the target is proton $2 \cdot M_A \cdot \omega - Q^2 = 2 \cdot M_A \cdot \omega \cdot (1 - X_{bj})$

The invariant mass distribution shows that we are not at the elastic scattering from deuteron.

Kinematic coverage in term of Q^2 and $|q|$

h_Q2_vs_q3m




Figure 2 Q^2 vs $|q|$

Data Selection in BigBite

1. has track in BigBite $BB.tr.n \geq 1$
2. has hit in either E or dE or both
3. track data match to hit data either fullhit (has both E and dE) or parthit (either E or dE)
4. Using CT to eliminate most of the MIP above $CT > -100$ ns




Figure 3.1 E vs CT time




Figure 3.2 dE vs CT time




Figure 3.3 dE vs E with NO CT cut




Figure 3.4 dE vs E with minimum CT cut > -100

5. Three option for Proton PID using graphical cut after CT minimum cut

E_vs_p_withTrackMatch




Figure 4.1 E vs BB momentum

dE_vs_p_withTrackMatch




Figure 4.2 dE vs BB momentum

dE_vs_E_withTrackMatch




Figure 4.3 dE vs E

- Option 1 apply to both fullhit and parthit in E
- Option 2 apply to both fullhit and parthit in dE
- Option 3 apply to only fullhit data

Physics of D(e,e'p)B

1. $p_{\text{miss}} = \sqrt{\sum (q_{xi} - p_{xi})^2}$
2. $E_{\text{recoil}} = MA + \omega - \sqrt{p_{\text{proton}}^2 + m_p^2}$
3. $M_{\text{miss}} = \sqrt{E_{\text{recoil}}^2 - p_{\text{miss}}^2}$

Below data is “NOT using proton PID”, but remove unknown section BB_p < 0.3 GeV/c




Figure 5.1/.2/.3 missing momentum, recoil_energy, and missing mass respectively. Additional

restriction with BB_p ≥ 300 MeV/c


Blue line: all data

red line: for fullhit data


green line: for parthit data in E

The missing mass peak at $0.928 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ which is $0.012 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ lower than the deuteron mass. This might be from the non-corrected momentum reconstruction with energy loss.

E_vs_p_withTrackMatch



dE_vs_p_withTrackMatch



dE_vs_E_withTrackMatch




Figure 6.1/6.2/6.3 trying to identify what the strip about momentum at 0.250 GeV/c in Fullhit data