Event with various cut (run 2035)

	Select Cut		Entries	%	
0	Total entries		522510		
0	Total entries T6 no edtm	event_type event_type Entries 522467 10^{5} 10^{4} 10^{2	522510 260948	49.9	From total entries
2	LHRS has	(T3 = 261519) (T6 = 259889) (T3&T6 = 1059)	259872	99.5	From T6
	track + T6 no edtm	$L_ntrack_row \\ 10^5 \\ 10^4 \\ 10^3 \\ 10^2 \\$		0.4	
		No track = 1076	004000	0.4	
2*	LHRS has single track +	For simplicity when making coincidence time	224382	86.0 86.3	From T6 From T6&



	BigBite	With LHRS & T6	224382		
4.1	BigBite BB has hit in E plane	With LHRS & T6 No hit = 7421 BBhit BBhit 50000 40000 30000 20000 1000 1000 1000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 1000000 100000 100000 100000 1000000 1000000 100000000 1000000 10000000000	224382 216961	96.7	From LHRS has single track + T6 no edtm
		As expected because T6 come from hit in E plane			
4.2	BB has track + has hit in E plane	$BB_ntrack_T6_Ln1_ehit BB_ntrack_T6_Ln1_ehit Entries 216961$ 10^{5}	131998	60.8	From BB has hit in E plane + LHRS has single track + T6 no edtm

Side bar, For the 84963 events with no track, we have 46401 events can be identify as full hit between dE vs E and 18446 event (21% of zero track) that should have been in good reconstruction of track but miss.



I'm stuck on how should I process with the inefficiency of the BigBite here.

If I only care about the number of event that has hit in E plane but has no track reconstruction then I will have 39.1% inefficiency from MWDC track reconstruction.

But if I take out the data at lowest conner of dE vs E (from fullhit) with zero track =46401-18446 = 27955 event as not miss reconstruction because it is not proton or deuteron in dE vs E anyhow, I will have

131998./ (216961- 27955) = 69.8% MWDC efficiency

