Already searh trough the run and remove the dummy runs.



1. Extension to higher momentum above 1 GeV. High Momentum Extension: combine data for all kinematic.



*Figure 1: Proton PID: BigBite Energy deposited in E-plane vs Analytical momentum* Red graphical cut: previous cut green graphical cut: extension cut

2. attempt to remove background from convert the coincidence time to momentum



## h\_momentum\_vs\_ctpathcorr\_proton

Figure 2.1: CT pathcorr vs momentum (proton PID)

The background is mainly in the low momentum.

With<br>CT pathcorr CT with LHRS path-length time correction and with BigBite path-length time correction

and this value is set to zero.



# ctLpath\_vs\_BBpathcorr\_proton



Figure 2.2: CT with Lpathcorr vs BB path-length time correction

Using time from CT\_Lpathcorr and convert it to momentum as follow:  $(time) = (pathl) E/(pc) = (pathl/c)*sqrt[4]{1 + (m_p/p)*2}$ so

 $p_timeconverted = m_p/sqrt[(time*c-path))**2-1]$ 

# p\_timecon\_vs\_p\_proton



Figure 2.3: momentum from time conversion vs momentum at MWDC : after proton PID

The data that should be interested us should be along the line of  $p_time  $converted == p_MWDC$ .$ But before making the CT pathcorr cut, it is harder to even see the line above other things.

# p\_diff\_proton



Figure 2.4: momentum from time conversion - momentum at MWDC : after proton PID

p\_timecon\_vs\_p\_protonCT



Figure 2.5: momentum from time conversion vs momentum at MWDC : after proton PID and CT pathcorr cut at 3sigma.



Figure 2.6: momentum from time conversion - momentum at MWDC : after proton PID and CT pathcorr cut at 3sigma.

We can try with making the cut between the momentum from time conversion - momentum at MWDC. But the background is mainly in the low momentum (refer to figure 2.1). The spread in the difference is in the high momentum section.



So in this case I think the cut will NOT remove the background but the high momentum section where the time-converted momentum is not exactly equal to p\_MWDC.

### 3. **How much Boiling Effect at 4 uA?**

Our production target is a 20-cm target which is at the bottom of the two can loops. The He4 target is at  $T = 19.91$  K and  $p = 204.52$  psiA,

Using temperature and pressure we can obtain the ideal case (no beam) density of the He4 target (from the density of He4 is

rho = 33.834 kg/m $\triangle$ 3 = 33.834 \* 10 $\triangle$ -3 g/cm $\triangle$ 3.

(NIST: webbook.nist.gov) with the standard deviation from the fits of the temperature and pressure < **1% relative.** 

The density loss  $(d_loss)$  (%) = - slope/Yield(0)  $*I$ \_run  $*100$ .

The E08-014 target density boiling study cover the average current  $(I$  ave) from 15 to 84 uA. Unfortunately it did not include our low current beam  $(I = 4 \text{ uA})$ . But we can extrapolate the possible of the boiling effect.

 $Yield(0)$  =  $20.57+/-0.03$ 

 $Yield(0) = yield$  extrapolated to zero current:

from the look of the plot yield vs current with a straight line fitting through multiple  $h_{\text{other}}$ 



compare to the extraction from the T,P, the density lost at 4 uA is at the same level.

#### **Target length effect.**

The other component that effect the density is the fluctuation along the target length. But from the smooth curve for the vertex, do we need to investigate?

### vertex



Figure 3.1: vertex distribution

Would it be within say 2% density loss if the average is 1.2%?