Simulation Updates on PVDIS EC

Jin Huang

Los Alamos National Lab

Jefferson Lab

EC performance w/o background

- Cited from March collaboration Meeting





Third update of CLEO background

- Received background simulation from Zhiwen on May 19
- Running background imbedding

Updated radiation dose VS layers

- Photon (EM) <- dominant!
- Photon (Pi^0)
- Electron
- Pion- Pion+ Proton







High radiation azimuthal region

Low radiation azimuthal region

Background imbedding and distribution

Mid-R, High Radiation phi slice









- Photon (6GHz/6+1 Hex cluster)
- Electron
- Pion- Pion+ Proton

Updated: Per-event pion rate

for 1+6 hexagon cluster at Mid radius, high radiation slice



Readout occupancy per shower channel for ~75MeV zero suppression



Trigger Study for Second update of CLEO background

- Reported May 7 Calorimeter Meeting

Update on PID with DC component removal (MIP + 2.5 σ)

Outer radius, higher γ ϕ-band





Mid radius, higher γ ϕ-band





Pion Efficiency

Electron Efficiency

Update on PID with DC component removal (MIP + 2.5 σ)

Inner radius, higher γ ϕ-band





Inner radius, lower γ ϕ-band





Pion Efficiency

Electron Efficiency

Pion Trigger Turn-On Curve (No background), Electron Eff. > 97%





Hex 1+6 Shower Trigger > 1.6GeV (for 2GeV electron)

+ Preshower Pad on top of central shower block > MIP + 1σ

Trigger turn on curve with background

Middle radius, higher γ ϕ-band





Inner radius, higher γ ϕ-band





Pion Efficiency

Electron Efficiency

All background particle pile ups

- Look at single Hexagon shower blocks which passed 0.75 MIP cut.
  - Full background spectrum used
  - ADC integration window = 50ns
- ~10% blocks will produce a 0.75MIP signal for clock trigger
  - Data readout is least 10% of modules
  - A shower MIP trigger is likely just trigger on lower energy particles

- higher γ ϕ-band
- lower γ ϕ-band



Quick estimation on impact of preshower radiation damage

- Radiation on preshower is high for PVDIS
  - Last meeting we showed that preshower will show radiation damage in a few months run in PVDIS configuration (assuming no cure for photon bgd)
  - Estimated light loss is a fraction depending on the choice of scintillator and fibers
- Our preshower was designed to produce high photon yield
  - Scint . thickness = 2cm with WLS imbedding
  - Expected photon / MIP = 140 e_γ
  - After 50% radiation damage (70 e_γ), MIP resolution from photon fluctuation = 12%
  - Intrinsic fluctuation on MIP sampling = 23%, PID cut on MIP + 2.5 σ
  - Therefore, effect on radiation damage to MIP resolution is expected to be minimal, as long as we calibrate the photon yield online

Beam test for LHCb pad (1.5cm thick)
From LHCb technical design report



Second update of CLEO background

- Reported Apr 30 Calorimeter Meeting

For each sector, background rate were calculated in high and low regions in phi

- Photon (EM) <- dominant!
- Photon (Pi^0)
- Electron
- Pion- Pion+

Babar baffle (for compare)







High radiation azimuthal region

Low radiation azimuthal region

Background distribution

New: with photon and pi+, Mid R, High Rad phi slice









- Photon (7 GHz/6+1 Hex cluster!)
- Electron
- Pion- Pion+

PID Performance (pion eff. w/ 94% elec. eff)

w/o photon and pi+, Mid R, High Rad phi slice



PID Performance (pion eff. w/ 94% elec. eff)

w/o photon, w/ pi+, Mid R, High Rad phi slice



PID Performance (pion eff. w/ 94% elec. eff) w/ photon, w/ pi+, Mid R, High Rad phi slice



Look elsewhere, Outer/Inner R
PID Performance (pion eff. w/ 94% elec. eff)

w/ photon, w/ pi+, Outer R, High Rad phi slice



w/ photon, w/ pi+, Outer R, Low Rad phi slice



w/ o photon, w/ pi+, Inner R, High Rad phi slice



w/ o photon, w/ pi+, Inner R, Low Rad phi slice



First update of CLEO background

- Reported Apr 23 Calorimeter Meeting

Zhiwen Updated background contribution for all configurations. PVDIS shown here:









- Photon
- Electron
- Pion- Pion+

Updated: Per-event pion rate for 1+6 hexagon cluster at inner radius



Updated: electron efficiency
Only electron and pi- background used



Not much change in electron eff.

Updated: pion rejection
Only electron and pi- background used



PVDIS trigger turn on curve

2GeV electron cut based on shower Hex1+6 cluster only



PVDIS – current baffle (with direct γ)

From Dec Collaboration Meeting



Layer #1 is 2cm preshower scint.

PVDIS – preview for a baffle w/o direct γ

From Dec Collaboration Meeting



Layer #1 is 2cm preshower scint.

Last Version of Background Simulation (reported last week)

Why it is hard – lots of deep pions









- Photon
- Electron
- Pion- Pion+

Per-event pion rate for 1+6 hexagon cluster at inner radius



Electron efficiency w/ background at inner radius. Ignore gamma and pi+ bgd



Background pile ups







Pion efficiency w/ background at inner radius. Ignore gamma and pi+ bgd





Significant drop in rejection





What we can further try

- Position or kinematic dependent trigger threshold and cut threshold
- Use track multiplicity to assist calorimeter cuts