Fiber silver mirror test update

Ye Tian

Shandong University

12/06/2018

Fiber with silver mirror

Four batches of fibers with sliver mirror received:

- 20 from Shanghai Company with good quality and high price
- 2 First batch from Yantai company
- ③ Second batch from Yantai company with fiber surface cleaning process
- ④ Third batch from Yantai company with thicker silver layer trial

These four batches have similar appearance quality, and the mirrors are formed by steaming method.





Test method



- Integral charge acquired by oscilloscope(10% =RMS/mean)
- Baseline(pedestal) changed randomly over time(about +-0.05pC), will add earth wire
- For the oscilloscope result showed last time, problem in oscilloscope readout and also baseline

Fiber test result without mirror(reference fiber for each test)

First test with QDC

Second and third test with oscilloscope

Second and third test use same test situation

	Fiber No	test result(QDC	Second test			
	Fibel No.	channel, pedestal 69)	Fiber No. Charge: pC	Charge: pC	Third test	
	old 1	1340		Pedestal: -0.6	Fiber No.	Charge: pC
No reflector (As reference)	old 2	1451±29.5	Old 1	3.13		Pedestal: -0.7
	1	1337	2	3.05	2	3.14
	2	1392±28.7	3	3.37	3	3.39
	3	1363±28.5	4	3.02	4	3.35
	4	1343±27.8	5	3.25	Average	3.29+0.7= <mark>3.99</mark>
	5	1445±29.0 Error of mean value	Average	3.11+0.6=3.71		
Average 1381 – 69 = 1312						

Reference fiber changed much than mirrored fiber.

1. Fiber from shanghai company

First test with QDC(showed before)

	1	2651±44.8
	2	2455±42.4
	3	2671±45.6
	4	2682±48.6
	5	2627±44.7
	6	2587±43.6
	7	2710±45.2
Good Sliver	8	2352±41.1
mirror	9	2660±44.3
	10	2610±44.9
	11	2716±45.8
	12	2418±42.0
	13	2695±46
	14	2787±46.5
	15	2661±44.5
	16	2455±42.4

Second test			
Fiber No.	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.6		
1	6.87		
2	6.75		
3	7		
4	6.22		
5	7.15		
6	6.48		
7	7.25		
Average	6.82+0.6= <mark>7.42</mark>		

Improvement: 97%(7.42/3.71 = 1.97).

Suppose 2600 – 69 = 2531

This result shows improve more than 90%(2531/1312 =1.93).

Third test

Fiber No.	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.75
1	6.6
2	6.8
3	6.68
Average	6.69+0.75= <mark>7.4</mark> 4

Improvement: 86%(7.44/3.99 = 1.86).

Signal changed a little, but reference fiber changed much, which influence reflectivity significantly.

2. First batch from Yantai company

- Three good fibers and other fiber trials
- Polished fiber + steamed sliver layer

	Second test	Third test
Fiber No.	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.75	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.72
1	5.1	5.23
2	5.6	5.57
3	5.18	4.85
Average	5.29+0.75= <mark>6.04</mark>	5.22+0.72= <mark>5.94</mark>
improvement	62.8%	48.7%

3. Second batch from Yantai company

- Polished fiber + 'electrical washing' + steamed sliver layer
- Two different groups received: with(B) and without(A) protection layer(painting used on circuit board)

Without protection layer

With protection layer

	Second test	Third test
Fiber No.	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.63	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.73
A1	6.05	5.82
A2	6.1	5.6
A3	6.05	5.95
A4	5.52	5.02
Average	6.07+0.63= <mark>6.7</mark>	5.79+0.73= <mark>6.52</mark>
improvement	78%	63.4%

	Second test	Third test
Fiber No.	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.63	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.72
B1	5.93	5
B2	6.18	6
В3	4.55	4.34

Not good in quality control, bad appearance.

Best ever from YanTai

4. Third batch from Yantai company

- Polished fiber + 'electrical washing' + steamed sliver layer
- Try thicker thickness of silver layer, different group(A, B, C) have different thickness, too few samples, and no significant improvement

	Third test	
Fiber No.	Charge: pC Pedestal: -0.73	
A1	5.1	
A2	5.9	Similar result as the
B1	6	"first" test of batch 2.
B2	5.95	
C1	5.05	

Conclusion

Error is too large with oscilloscope, try to setup QDC test(at least the pedestal of QDC is stable).

For fibers from Shanghai company:

• Still have good reflectivity: about 90% (two months passed)

For fibers from YanTai company:

- Could reach nearly 80%
- may decrease slightly over time
- Not good in quality control
- In contact all the time, work in improving quality
- Guarantee the price of fiber will not more than ${
 m Y10/each}$ in batch