# The g<sub>2</sub><sup>P</sup> Analysis Update

Detectors & Simulation

# Jie Liu University of Virginia





# Outline

### Detector Calibration

✓ VDC t<sub>0</sub>
✓ LHRS Cherenkov
✓ LHRS Pion Rejector

### Efficiency Study

✓LHRS PID Optimization✓VDC Multi-track Efficiency

### Data Quality Check

✓ VDC t<sub>0</sub> , Tracking variable
✓ Multi-track Efficiency

Simulation Study

✓ Energy Loss Model✓ Packing Fraction Simulation

CompletedIn progress

### VDC $t_0$ Calibration

- Align timing reference  $t_0$  for each VDC wire
- Time = TDC resolution \* ( $t_0$  offset channel rawtime channel)



11/14/2014

 $g_2^{P}$  Collaboration Meeting

## **VDC** $t_0$ **Effects**

- Timing reference  $t_0$  choice
  - ✓ Maximum slope
  - Maximum slope extrapolate to zero





11/14/2014

 $g_2^P$  Collaboration Meeting

### **Cherenkov** Calibration

- Align single photoelectron peak
  - Contamination from both pedestal and main photoelectron peak
  - Need Timing and track information to select the clean peak



Green region: selected for Single photoelectron

11/14/2014

 $g_2^{P}$  Collaboration Meeting

### **Cherenkov** Calibration

### Single photoelectron peak



11/14/2014

 $g_2^P$  Collaboration Meeting

ADC Pedastal for Block #22

### Pion Rejector Calibration

- Not a full energy absorption detector, radiation length  $\sim 11.4 X_0$
- Align Pedestal and Main Electron peak first for blocks in one layer



ADC Main Peak for Block #22

#### 11/14/2014

 $g_2^P$  Collaboration Meeting

### Pion Rejector Calibration

- Optimize additional gain factor for each layer
- Longitudinal shower model



#### 11/14/2014

 $g_2^{P}$  Collaboration Meeting

### **VDC Multi-track Efficiency**

• Motivation: VDC one track events probability gets as low as 70% around elastic region



LHRS One-track Events Probability

11/14/2014

 $g_2^P$  Collaboration Meeting

### **VDC Multi-track Efficiency**

• Method: point the track from VDC to calorimeters and sum up the total energy in the surrounding lead glass blocks 3\*2.



11/14/2014

 $g_2^P$  Collaboration Meeting

### VDC Multi-track Study

#### Requirements:

- A good position database for lead glass ( can reconstruct from data).
- A detailed case study for cluster energy contamination between tracks.



Cluster center comparison for prl1

#### 11/14/2014

 $g_2^P$  Collaboration Meeting

### **VDC Multi-track Efficiency**

 The VDC efficiency systematic uncertainty down to below 1% for most kinematic settings.



11/14/2014

#### $g_2^{P}$ Collaboration Meeting

### VDC $t_0$ Check

•  $t_0$  check for all production runs for VDC each player



13

### VDC Track Variable Check

Track Variable mean value check for all production runs



## Energy Loss Model

• Use g2sim to simulate the real experiment, energy loss step by step



11/14/2014

 $g_2^P$  Collaboration Meeting

## Energy Loss Model

### Bremesstrahlung

✓ External Bremesstrahlung

 $\Box$  sample an energy loss  $I_e(E_0, E, t) = bt(E_0 - E)^{-1} \left[\frac{E}{E_0} + \frac{3}{4} (\frac{E_0 - E}{E_0})^2\right] (\ln \frac{E_0}{E})^{bt}$ 

Internal Bremesstrahlung

equivalent radiator approximation

### Ionization

- Landau distribution
- ✓ Mean Energy Loss fluctuation Model

 $\square$  Excite with two energy levels or ionization with energy loss according to  $E^{-2}$ 

- □ Can be used for any thickness of media
- □ Approach the Landau distribution at the limit of validity of Landau theroy

11/14/2014

 $g_2^{P}$  Collaboration Meeting

### Simulation versus Data

• Comparison between simulated dp versus optics run dp



2.2 GeV, straight through Carbon without LHe run

11/14/2014

 $g_2^{P}$  Collaboration Meeting

Jie Liu <jie@jlab.org>

17

### Packing Fraction Study--Simulation

- Packing Fraction: Ratio of  $NH_3$  volume to the whole cell
- Method: Compare the experiment yields with the simulated yields



11/14/2014

 $g_2^{P}$  Collaboration Meeting

### Packing Fraction Study--Simulation



 $\delta(\sigma_N/\sigma_{He})$  vs. scattering angle

19



## Packing Fraction Study--Simulation

• 2.2GeV, 5T, Longitudinal, Material 18

Runs	Туре	Exp. Yields	Beam ×/mm	Beam y/mm	Beam th/mr	Beam ph/mr
5649	Carbon	855025	0.22	-3.84	-0.54	0.10
5650	Empty	481113	0.16	-3.59	-0.30	0.02
5651	Dummy	480956	-0.23	-3.76	-0.53	-0.40
5652	Production	832366	0.34	-3.65	-0.40	0.19

•  $p_f = 0.51$ 

 assume run 5652, 5649, 5650, relative beam shift is small, bpm absolute uncertainty 1mrad

• 
$$\delta\left(\frac{\sigma_{He}}{\sigma_{He1}}\right) = \delta\left(\frac{\sigma_{He2}}{\sigma_{He1}}\right) = \delta\left(\frac{\sigma_{He3}}{\sigma_{He1}}\right) = 0, \ \delta\left(\frac{\sigma_{N}}{\sigma_{He1}}\right) = 4.74\%, \ \delta\left(\frac{\sigma_{H}}{\sigma_{He1}}\right) = -0.95\%, \ \delta\left(\frac{\sigma_{C}}{\sigma_{He1}}\right) = 3.63\%$$

•  ${}^{\delta_{Pf}}/_{Pf} = 7.61\%$ , 1mrad uncertainty

11/14/2014

 $g_2^{P}$  Collaboration Meeting

# Graduate Plan

- Shorterm
- $\Box$  dp simulation study and simulation package (1 month)
- Longterm
- □ Finalize thesis topic and publish
- Expected graduate by summer 2016, depends
- Prefer an academic work