

The Spin Structure of the Neutron Determined Using a Polarized He-3 Target*

Hunter Leigh Middleton

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94309

SLAC-Report-700
November 1994

Prepared for the Department of Energy
under contract number DE-AC03-76SF00515

Printed in the United States of America. Available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

* Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

The Spin Structure of the Neutron
Determined Using a
Polarized ^3He Target

Hunter Leigh Middleton

A DISSERTATION
* PRESENTED TO THE FACULTY
OF PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
IN CANDIDACY FOR THE DEGREE
OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

RECOMMENDED FOR ACCEPTANCE
BY THE DEPARTMENT OF
PHYSICS

NOVEMBER 1994

Abstract

Described is a study of the internal spin structure of the neutron performed by measuring the asymmetry in spin-dependent deep inelastic scattering of polarized electrons from nuclear polarized ^3He . Stanford Linear Accelerator experiment E142's sample of 400 million scattering events collected at beam energies between 19 and 26 GeV led to the most precise measurement of a nucleon spin structure function to date.

The ^3He target represents a major advance in polarized target technology, using the technique of spin exchange with optically pumped rubidium vapor to produce a typical ^3He nuclear polarization of 34% in a 30 cm long target cell with a gas density of $2.3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The target polarization was measured to $\pm 7\%$ using an Adiabatic Fast Passage NMR system calibrated with the thermal equilibrium polarization of the protons in a sample of water. The relatively high polarization and target thickness were the result of the development of large volume glass target cells which had inherent nuclear spin relaxation times for the ^3He gas of as long as 70 hours. A target cell production procedure is presented which focuses on special glass blowing techniques to minimize surface interactions with the ^3He nuclei and careful gas purification and vacuum system procedures to reduce relaxation inducing impurities.

The spin structure function of the neutron g_1^n was determined over a range of the Bjorken scaling parameter $0.03 < x < 0.6$ with an average Q^2 of 2 (GeV/c)². The integral $\int_0^1 g_1^n(x) dx = -0.022 \pm 0.011$, combined with previous proton results provided the first significant experimental test of the fundamental Bjorken sum rule. Furthermore, this integral result together with the Ellis-Jaffe sum rule imply that the net polarization of the strange quark sea is consistent with zero and that the valence quarks contribute a total of about 60% of the nucleon spin. Although consistent with the standard theoretical picture of the nucleon, this result is contrary to the nucleon 'spin crisis' conclusions of previous proton spin structure results. However, this disagreement is less than 2σ of the combined experimental uncertainties.

Acknowledgements

I begin by thanking my advisor, Gordon Cates, for allowing me an unusual level of freedom in working on my thesis, meanwhile having to run interference for me with the Particle Politicians. I did not make his job particularly easy. Among the Particle Politicians, I must thank the mild mannered Emlyn Hughes. He not only challenged the collaboration to do their best work, but his own drive kept the entire project moving forward, while preventing the run schedule from doing the same. Also among the E-142 collaborators, Jim Johnson was perhaps the most critical person to have working on the target project, Tim Chupp helped provide a concern for detail and Alan Thompson I thank for being himself.

During the early stages of my thesis, I am particularly indebted to Nate Newbury for his invaluable time, experience, and above all, patience in helping get me started. He was much more understanding than I deserved and I was very lucky to work with him even for a brief time. In the later stages, Krishna Kumar's arrival at Princeton was very fortuitous, providing an important and enthusiastic sounding board while I was working on the analysis and writing. Throughout the project, the glass working talent of Mike Souza was indispensable. Also, although I did not work closely with either Will Happer or Paul Souder during my thesis, no student can do an accelerator project from our group without being strongly influenced by these two persons.

As always, there is a large number of very competent students in the Atomic group which produce a synergistic environment for doing physics. Brian Saam, Paul Bogorad, and I have gone through the whole Princeton thing together, exchanging barbs, sports scores, political opinions, and even some physics along the way. These two, along with Bas Driehuys, are probably in some way responsible for any spark of intelligence which may appear in these pages. Beyond these three, with whom I have worked closely, there are also Mike Gatzke, Amy Barton, Carl Collins, Karen Sauer, Joel Ulm, Mike Romalis, and Jill McCracken who have influenced me during our

more brief overlaps in the group. What else can be said of all these Atomic students except, mmmoooooooooooo!

Finally, I credit all the exceptional people associated with my entering physics class. The comradery of this group made attending Princeton an enjoyable as well as educational experience. Particularly important among these are Gordon and Blair Jones, Brian, Don, and Margret Alvarez, Ed Wollack and Allison Pihl and ????, Jennifer Saam, Sunita Driehuys, Zaven Arzoumanian, and Rob "Bha-bha" McPherson; and, from Dillon, Jim Brody, David Jackson, Gordon Buffonge and Wayne Volkmuth.

I dedicate this thesis to the free minded, scientifically objective, un-'correct' thinkers of the world.

... Do not scornfully reject, before you have understood them, the gifts I have marshalled for you with zealous devotion. I will set out to discourse to you on the ultimate realities of heaven and the gods. I will reveal those atoms from which nature creates all things and increases and feeds them and into which, when they perish, nature again resolves them.

... [Superstition], this dread and darkness of the mind cannot be dispelled by the sunbeams, the shining shafts of day, but only by an understanding of the outward form and inner workings of nature.

-Titus Lucretius Carus, *The Nature of the Universe*, c. 50 B.C.

(tr. R.E. Latham)

... But the reward of a successful collaboration is a thing that cannot be produced by either of the parties working alone. It is akin to the benefits of sex with a partner, as opposed to [censored]. The latter is fun, but you show me anyone who has gotten a baby from playing with him or herself, and I'll show you an ugly baby, with just a whole bunch of knuckles.

- Harlan Ellison

Contents

Abstract	iv
Acknowledgements	vi
1 Introduction	1
2 Spin Dependent Deep Inelastic Scattering	12
2.1 Unpolarized Deep Inelastic Scattering	13
2.2 Spin Dependent DIS Asymmetries	17
2.3 The Behavior of $A_1(x)$ in the QPM	22
2.4 The Spin Structure Function g_1	27
2.4.1 The Bjorken Sum Rule	28
2.4.2 The Ellis-Jaffe Sum Rule	32
3 The E-142 Experiment	40
3.1 Overview	40
3.2 The Polarized Electron Beam	44
3.3 Event Detectors and Analysis	47

3.4	The Polarized ^3He Target	51
3.4.1	Target Theory	52
3.4.2	Components and Operation	68
3.4.3	Target Performance	75
4	NMR Target Polarimetry	83
4.1	Adiabatic Fast Passage	83
4.1.1	AFP Constraints	86
4.2	NMR Apparatus and Electronics	89
4.2.1	Determining ^3He NMR Signal Amplitudes	95
4.3	Proton Calibration	100
4.3.1	Calculating the Proton Polarization	104
4.3.2	Measuring the Proton NMR Signals	109
4.4	^3He Polarization Direction	116
4.5	Rb EPR Frequency Shift Polarimetry	119
5	All About Target Cells	122
5.1	Cell Design Considerations	122
5.1.1	Mechanical Design	122
5.1.2	Optical Pumping Constraints	127
5.2	Cell Production	128
5.2.1	Cell Construction	131
5.2.2	Cell Filling	141

5.2.3	Future Improvements	150
5.2.4	^3He Density Calculations	151
5.3	Target Cell Dilution Factors	154
5.3.1	The Neutron Dilution Within ^3He	156
5.3.2	Theoretical ^3He Dilution Within the Cells	157
5.3.3	Measured ^3He Dilution	160
6	The Spin Structure of Nucleons	167
6.1	Calculating the Integral of $g_1^n(x)$	170
6.1.1	Comparing Neutron, Proton, and Deuteron Integrals	173
6.2	The Bjorken Sum Rule	174
6.3	Quark Spin Distributions in Nucleons	175
6.3.1	Strange Sea Polarization	179
6.3.2	Gluons and Orbital Angular Momentum	181
6.4	Future Spin Structure Experiments	182
6.4.1	The SLAC Program	183
6.4.2	SMC Continues	185
6.4.3	HERMES: The New Kid on the Block	185
6.5	Conclusion	186
A	Spin Relaxation in a Rotating Frame	190
A.1	T_{1r} in a Dense Gas	190
A.2	Averaging T_{1r} Over the AFP NMR Line	192

B The Thermal Equilibrium Proton Polarization During AFP	195
B.1 The Source of the AFP-NMR Signal	196
B.2 Calculating the Thermal Equilibrium Proton Polarization	197
B.3 Approximate Proton Polarization at Resonance	198
B.4 Numerical Evaluation and Parameterization of the Proton Polarization	200
B.5 Estimating the Proton T_1	205
List of Figures	206
List of Tables	208