

# LHRS Analysis for $d_2^n$

QFS Modeling of Data

D. Flay

9/1/11

# Outline

- 1 QFS Modeling of Data
  - Method
  - Nitrogen Data
  - $^3\text{He}$  Data
- 2 Summary

# Description


- To model our experimental data, we fit it using the QFS program
- Contributing processes in QFS:
  - 1 Quasi-elastic
  - 2 Delta resonance
  - 3  $W = 1500, 1700$  MeV resonances
  - 4 The 'dip' region
  - 5 DIS
- We (arbitrarily) weight each process by some multiplicative factor(s) so that QFS accurately describes both the 4- and 5-pass data

# QFS Modeling of Nitrogen Data (1)


## The Fit Parameters

- In the following,  $E_s$ ,  $E_p$ ,  $Q^2$  and  $\nu$  have been converted to GeV when used as multiplicative factors
- $\sigma_{qe} \rightarrow \frac{E_s}{4} \frac{E_s}{1.178} \sigma_{qe}$
- $\sigma_{\Delta} \rightarrow \left(2 + \frac{3}{4} E_p\right) \sigma_{\Delta}$
- $\sigma_{1500} \rightarrow \sigma_{1500}$
- $\sigma_{1700} \rightarrow \frac{Q^2}{2} \frac{E_s}{\nu} \sigma_{1700}$
- $\sigma_{2N} \rightarrow \frac{1}{5} E_p \left(1 + \frac{E_s}{\nu}\right) \sigma_{2N}$
- $\sigma_{DIS} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sin(\theta/2)} \frac{1}{16.5} \frac{E_s}{E_p} \sigma_{DIS}$


## QFS Modeling of Nitrogen Data (2)

 $E_s = 4730 \text{ MeV}$ 


# QFS Modeling of Nitrogen Data (3)

 $E_s = 4730 \text{ MeV}$ 

## QFS Modeling of Nitrogen Data (4)

 $E_s = 5890 \text{ MeV}$ 

# QFS Modeling of Nitrogen Data (5)


 $E_s = 5890 \text{ MeV}$ 




# QFS Modeling of $^3\text{He}$ Data (1)


## The Fit Parameters

- In the following,  $E_s$ ,  $E_p$ ,  $Q^2$  and  $\nu$  have been converted to GeV when used as multiplicative factors
- $\sigma_{qe} \rightarrow \frac{E_s}{\nu} \frac{E_s}{4} \sigma_{qe}$
- $\sigma_{\Delta} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\nu} (10^{-3} E_s + E_p) \sigma_{\Delta}$
- $\sigma_{1500} \rightarrow \frac{4}{E_s} \sigma_{1500}$
- $\sigma_{1700} \rightarrow \frac{Q^2}{10} \sigma_{1700}$
- $\sigma_{2N} \rightarrow \frac{9}{10} E_p \left(1 + \frac{E_s}{\nu}\right) \sigma_{2N}$
- $\sigma_{DIS} \rightarrow \frac{3}{5 \sin(\theta/2)} \frac{1}{4.73} \sigma_{DIS}$

QFS Modeling of  $^3\text{He}$  Data (2) $E_s = 4730 \text{ MeV}$ 

# QFS Modeling of $^3\text{He}$ Data (3)


 $E_s = 4730 \text{ MeV}$ 

QFS Modeling of  $^3\text{He}$  Data (4) $E_s = 5890 \text{ MeV}$ 

# QFS Modeling of $^3\text{He}$ Data (5)

 $E_s = 5890 \text{ MeV}$ 

Percent Difference Between Data and QFS



# Summary

- QFS models do a decent job fitting the data:
  - Nitrogen: Better than  $\sim 6\%$  for most data points
  - ${}^3\text{He}$ : Better than  $\sim 5\%$  for most data points
- The model doesn't do as well at high  $E_p$  values: 10–30%

# What's Next?

- Radiative Corrections:
  - Get radcor working at our kinematics
- Cross Sections:
  - Double-check nitrogen dilutions (using QFS fit)
  - Finite acceptance correction
  - Loose ends on systematic errors (LT, VDC and Q)